General:

**What kind of camera will I need?** A digital SLR camera with interchangeable lenses is the ideal solution, but almost any camera will work if you can lock the aperture, lock the focus, and lock the white balance. Ultimately, you get what you pay for. Digital SLRs generally have more options for white balance, mirror lockup, etc... the more choices, the better!

If you want a camera with the most convenient HDR shooting setup, choose a camera that has an auto-bracketing (AEB) option. Click here for a good list of cameras that have auto-bracketing (3 shots are common, but 5 or 7 is better)

**Do I always need an HDR?** Definitely not. High Dynamic Range (or even Medium Dynamic Range) implies you have a high contrast lighting situation. This is obviously not always the case. (i.e.: a foggy morning on an overcast day). If you are shooting in a low contrast lighting situation (i.e.: foggy morning) then you have very little need for an HDR file.

**Will HDRs make my lighting (in my 3D scene) "perfect" every time?** Actually, no. Many people think that HDRI is the holy grail of CG-lighting. It is a huge advancement, but is not perfect for all situations. Often, HDRI will get you about 90% of the way, but you may need to add an additional light or tweak a shadow in order to get it to perfectly match your scene. However, quite often, just using a single HDR file will get you where you need to be. Your mileage will vary depending on the strictness and time constraints of your project.

Similarly, some people/companies just use HDRs for realistic reflections only. They may have a really good CG Lighter on staff that will do the primary lighting of a shot or maybe they use a gray/diffuse ball as their primary lighting reference.
What are the differences between a light probe, spherical image, LatLong, vertical cross, cubic (etc) versions of HDRs? Depending on what application you are using, the names of the shapes of the HDR will change.

Most 3D programs want/need an image that looks like an unwrapped world map. This file can be called “Equirectangular”, “Spherical”, “LatLong”, and also a “Latitude Longitude” file. These are called different names, but they are all exactly the same!

Vertical Cross, Horizontal Cross, and Cubic HDRs... these are all just six 90-degree views of a scene or panorama. They just happened to be placed together in a certain shape (vertical or horizontal cross) or saved out as six separate cube faces as six different files. Retouching is pretty easy with these as long as you do not try to retouch any of the edge pixels. If you need to do some cloning or color correction across the seams, then convert the image to a spherical image and work on that. Then convert back to a cubic format if needed.

“Light Probes” are full 360x180-degree spherical (or LatLong) HDR files that have been converted into a ball shape. Visually, it appears that they are chrome balls, but in reality they have a mathematically much simpler distortion and they may or may not have been created and captured that way. A few 3D programs directly support Light Probes (ball-looking) images, but almost all support LatLong shaped HDR images. When you make an HDR from a series of pictures of a chrome ball, this too, is considered a “light probe”. In fact, any fully immersive HDR panorama can be considered a light probe, no matter what unwrapping format it is in. They are also sometimes called “Light Maps” as well.

(Note – be sure to read the section below on panoramic conversions)
Cameras/Lenses/Gear:

The first “4 Rules of shooting HDRs”:
Lock f-stop <aperture – which controls your depth of field>
Lock focus
Lock white balance
Turn off any in camera “automatic” image enhancing (i.e.: auto-contrast or auto-saturation, including sharpening)
<You will be bracketing “time” for your various exposures>

Other Rules…
Shoot fast* – People move, cars move, lighting can change quickly
(* but be aware in long exposures, you may need to use your camera’s Mirror Lockup function to minimize shaking the camera. In this case, shooting fast is not advisable.)
If your camera can shoot in “burst” mode, then shoot all three (or more) images all in a row as quickly as you can. Sometimes you will need to wait a few minutes for the just right opportunity.

If you shoot in sand or loose dirt, then tripod spikes can help stabilize your tripod. Another trick is to slice open three tennis balls (one per leg) and put them on the end of your tripod. This will help to distribute the weight of the camera and tripod. This is also helpful for not scratching up hardwood and marble floors.

Also – “Shooting Fast” does not imply that you should be in hurry when you are getting setup. Take your time and do it correctly, otherwise if you rush too much, you may overlook something and then when you’re stitching your final HDR pano, you then realize you missed a few shots. Many times, you cannot do a reshoot.. So be careful when getting set up. Don’t kick or bump your tripod or you will have to start over.

Lenses:
Buy the best glass/lens you can afford. A cheap lens will always give you cheap images… they may not be sharp, vignetting, and chromatic aberrations. We recommend Japanese or German manufactured glass/lenses. Image stabilization is not needed if you’re shooting on a tripod. Remember: “You get what you pay for!”
**f-stops:**
Generally f8 or f11 are the sharpest overall areas within a lens. Please notice I did not say that you would get more in focus!? Yes, F22 or F32 will give you more depth of field, but the “middle” f-stops are generally the sharpest overall. I use f8 or f11 for almost all of my HDRs.

**Are f-stops and EV's the same?**
Well, it depends on your point of view, but technically they are different. “f-stops” are specific to the moveable blades that open and close within a lens. When you open or close 1 f-stop, you are either halving or doubling the amount of light hitting the inside of the camera. When adjusting shutter speeds, the same is true in that when you go from 1/250 to 1/125 or 1/500 you are doubling or halving the amount of light. Therefore, people also call these “f-stops”, but technically that is not correct. The proper term is “Exposure Values” or “EV’s”. EV’s can then be used to describe changes in your aperture or your shutter speeds. Most digital still and even video cameras have setting to adjust or bracket your “EVs” and does not (generally) say anything about bracketing f-stops.

**Are ISO and ASA the same?**
Definitely not. However, over time they are being used interchangeably. “ISO” stands for International Organization for Standardization and “ASA” stands for the American Standards Association. In the USA, film speeds are in the ranges of 50ASA to 3200ASA, with 100 and 400 ASA being the most commonly used film speeds. However, the equivalent numbers in ISO are 18° to 36°. So the potential problem/confusion is that many digital cameras will ask you to enter an ISO rating of 400! Just be clear that the higher the number, the more sensitive the film (or CCD) will be. However, this always comes at the cost of higher film grain or digital noise (gain).

(Side note – ASA changed names to ANSI – American National Standards Institute – But film still goes by ASA.)

**How important is it to get the color balance right before shooting?** If shooting JPEGs, it is pretty much critical. If shooting in RAW, then you have more latitude to “fix it in post”. At the same time, if you have a perfect color balance (because you used your custom white balance feature in your camera) then it makes like much easier in the post-processing stages. The less steps the better in a real production environment. Time is money, right? If you can spend a few extra minutes up front, you can save a lot of time in the post-production aspect of creating HDRI files.
**Megapixels:**
How many is enough? It depends on what your final output is going to be and how accurate you really need to be. If you are going to HD, then you would need a minimum of 4K horizontal resolution for your final spherical image. I say this based on using a wide angle virtual lens (ie: 90-degree field of view) and rendering to NTSC video or HD 720p. In order to have enough resolution to hold up properly, you will need “full res” pixels in the background. If your Lat/Long file is about 4000 pixels, then you will have about 1000 pixels in your background if you are using a 90-degree FOV lens (ie: 18mm) to render with. That also means if you use something like a 50mm lens, you will ALSO need about 1000 pixels in the background. However a 50mm lens gives you about 40-degrees FOV, so in that case, you’d need about an 8K Lat/Long file in the background.

If you are only using the panorama as a reflection map, then you can get away with a lot less (about half?) resolution.
Are you going to do a full 360-degree pan within your shot? If not, then you can by with less overall resolution.
Is my 4-megapixel camera good enough? If you take twice as many shots, you will get the same resolution that is produced by an 8-megapixel camera. Time versus money is the answer there.

**Tripods:**
Don’t buy a cheap one. You need a steady, stable tripod that will not move. It doesn’t have to be a heavy monster, but stability is extremely important. The more expensive carbon fiber tripods are well with the cost (but less weight) if you will be shooting many panoramas and HDRs.

**Do I need to buy an expensive, professional light meter? Or colorimeter?**
Light meters made by companies like Sekonic are really nice to have and used to be required for most professional photographers. However, today you can take a digital photo and instantly view a preview of the image on your view screen, but more importantly, you can also view the histogram of that image (on most mid to higher end digital cameras) and easily be able to tell if you have the proper exposure for that scene. You can trust the histogram, you cannot always judge proper exposure of your view screen if you are viewing it in bright sunlight for example. Another “trick” is to put your camera on aperture priority (I generally shoot F8 or F11 on all HDRs and panoramas because that is the sharpest area of most lenses) and just take a picture. View the histogram and if the exposure is good, then note what the shutter speed and F-stop were for that image. Then switch the camera to fully manual, put in the proper shutter speed and F-stop and start shooting!
Cable Releases: Any of them will do, but use them! The less you physically touch your camera, the better your odds of getting a good HDR and/or panorama. 12” to 24” is good for length.

Lens Choices: Wider or longer focal length? Generally, the wider the better as it affords you to be able to shoot faster, save faster, and have fewer images to process and retouch. However, the drawback is that you get less overall resolution in the end if you shoot 6 images (for a horizontal row for example) than if you shoot 12 shots for a horizontal row. Wide angle lenses generally have more chromatic aberration as well. That is not a huge problem, but something to be aware of.

Fisheye or Rectilinear? Personally, I advise using a really wide angle rectilinear lens (i.e.: Canon’s 10-22 EF-S lens). Rectilinear (also known as “normal” lenses) are easier to retouch and are supported by most stitching and photographic applications. Fisheye allows you to shoot fewer images, but you are a little more limited to how many applications that support fisheye stitching for. Retouching fisheye images can also be very challenging. (But they sure are fun to look at!)

Some panographers argue that Fisheye is much better because it allows you to be much more efficient with your time. (ie: less images = less file size = less retouching/post-processing) Ultimately, it is a personal choice on which type of lens to use.

Film or Digital capture? I recommend digital cameras. Film cameras can be very problematic in that that have very limited exposure latitude (ability to handle over/underexposure). This is especially true for slide/chrome films with an even smaller exposure latitude. Films are becoming increasingly more difficult to find. Film is either “daylight” or “tungsten” balanced and only 2 choices can be very limiting and frustrating. Similarly, film has to be processed and scanned (and often printed too) and there is the added dilemma of “human error” involved with how they are placed in the film holder, accuracy and consistency of the color, etc... Finally, there is no metadata! You have to be a master at writing down all your (or at least most) of your exposure information. As you shoot hundreds of shots (and have to switch film often because of the 36 exposure limit) it gets harder and harder to keep accurate records of your shots.

(continued on next page)
Digital photos: Faster, instant gratification and knowledge that you got it right, ability to instantly view the histogram (on most DSLRs) of the image to truly know whether or not you have a good exposure. Image alignment is rarely a problem, all exposure information (f-stops, shutter speeds, etc) are written to each file in the metadata (on most digital cameras). GPS data can also be recorded with special equipment and cameras. No prints are needed. I just can’t think of a reason why you would want to shoot on film!

Shooting Chrome Balls/Panos/Shooting HDRs:

VR Heads:
“Professional” VR heads versus “Lightweight” VR heads:
Professional heads hold more weight, are very stable, usually have a built in bubble level, have pre-made “notches” or indentations that make moving from one shot to the next shot very easy and fast. Lightweight portable heads are good for backpacking and when you want to travel lightly. However, they generally do not support much weight, and often do not have premade notches/indentations for your camera, so you really have to pay attention when shooting. (I am lazy, what can I say?) I recommend the Bogen/Manfrotto spherical head as the best combination of functionality, stability, and price. However, it is big, bulky, and heavy.

However, if you have a lightweight camera and a small lens, then a more portable VR head (ie: Nodal Ninja 3) may be better suited for your needs.

Camera leveling (for Panoramas):
Always do it if time permits. Leveling your camera “on set” will save you time and additional steps later. However, there are times where you may be a rush and have to set up quickly. If this is the case, just know that you can always “fix it in post” with various software applications (i.e.: Realviz Stitcher, Flexify 2 Photoshop Plug-in, PTGui Pro, or Hugin)

Leveling base plates – These are really handy, fast ways of leveling your camera before shooting a panorama. What often used to take 5 minutes (to level your tripod manually) now just takes 30 seconds or less. However, if weight is an issue, they do add another 1 or 2 pounds to your camera bag, so if weight is more important than time, then don’t use one.
**Parallax – How important is it really?**

VERY! (Well, most of the time it is very important) If you have parallax, most stitching programs will be unable to stitch your images. Calibrating and testing your camera/head/lens setup are worth your time. Moreover, once you find the sweet spot (proper nodal point) you never have to find it again, so it is a one-time setup.

However, it also depends on how close the subjects you are photographing are in relation to where you are shooting from. If you are shooting in the middle of a flat field in Kansas (USA), then parallax may not be as important. However, if you are photographing a small room filled with bookshelves (i.e.: a lot of vertical and horizontal straight lines) then it will be absolutely critical to have the correct parallax/nodal point.

(Side note - I have successfully stitched a multi-image panorama shot from the top of a lighthouse, where the nodal point was off by nearly 10 feet!)

The wider the lens, the more challenging finding the correct nodal point can be. This is especially true of fisheye lenses. (The Nikkor 10.5mm fisheye lens has the nodal point marked with a nice gold ring around the lens, so setup with that lens is easy!) It would be nice if all lens manufacturers did that!

**How do I get good and fast at shooting panoramic HDRs?** Practice! It takes a lot of practice to get fast and efficient at shooting and stitching them. Another bit of advice I can suggest is that once you starting shooting your own panos and HDRs, be consistent and methodical with your approach. Maybe you always start shooting north? Maybe you always start with the “beauty shot” of the scene? I also suggest always shooting clockwise or counter-clockwise (it doesn’t matter which, but choose one) I generally take the up and down shots last because usually the important parts of the photos (content-wise) are generally in the horizontal areas of the panoramas.

However, I need to mention that some panographers always start by pointing straight at the sun. This minimizes lens flares and after completing the circle, you can easily remember where to stop. Others start with the down shots, before the stomp all over the grass, vegetation, or sand, to minimize retouching later in post.
How many shots do I need to shoot a full spherical panorama?
It depends. It depends primarily on what focal length lens you use, the wider the lens, the less shots it will take overall to complete a sphere. However, it also depends (somewhat) on what stitching software you will be using as well. In the early days of panoramic stitching, often a 50% overlap of images was needed. Today, generally you only need 10% to 20% overlap to get a good stitch.

If you need to be able to visualize how many shots will make up a full sphere, you can set up a series of cards (with the same aspect ratio as your CCD) in Maya, 3DS Max, Lightwave, etc... then just duplicate and rotate your card until all the cards fully cover your sphere with a little bit (about 10%?) of overlap. (A good place to see how this done is on Greg Downing’s Gnomon DVD.)

Or you can use the Panoramic Calculator located at: http://www.hdrlabs.com/tools/panocalc.html

Example 1: If you used a Canon 30D with the Canon EF-S 10-22mm lens (shooting at 10mm) you will need to take 15 photographs for a panorama. (For HDR, you will need to take each of these 15 shots at three or more exposures each.) You will take ONE photograph up at the Zenith, you will take TWO photographs down towards the Nadir (rotate 90-degrees from your first down shot) and then you will take two “rows” of images, with each “row” being made up of 6 vertical photographs. The lower row of images will be tilted down (about -15 degrees from horizon) so that you just barely miss getting any of the tripod legs in any of the six shots from that row. For the other row of image, you will need to tilt the camera up at 45-degrees above the horizon. This will give you plenty of overlap at the Zenith. (so you may or may not need the zenith shot, but its better and safer to go ahead and shoot an extra straight up ONLY zenith shot just to be safe.)

Example 2: If you shoot with a Nikon D200 and a 10.5mm fisheye lens, you can just take 6 images horizontally and 1 up for the zenith, and 1 down for the nadir. Only 8 shots for a full panorama!

(Continued on next page)
When shooting panoramas, you will often hear the terms “row” and “columns” mentioned. Columns, like in a book or newspaper article, represent how many shots you will need to take to make a complete circle horizontally. Rows are like horizontal slices of a sliced orange for example. In the above example, there were two rows + the zenith and nadir images taken. However, with a slightly less wide angle lens, a Nikkor 12mm lens for example, you will need to take 8 shots to get a complete row. Other lenses may not have as much vertical field of view, so you may need to shoot 3 rows and 8 columns (+ nadir + zenith) to get a complete spherical panorama.

I should also mention that you can (sometimes, depending on overall light levels) handhold a nadir shot that does not have the tripod in it. Shoot it, then composite it back into the other images to remove the tripod and head. This method is much more difficult, or even impossible, in low light situations. Another alternative is to move the camera over slightly from where you shot, then tilt the tripod up on two legs and reposition the lens (by sliding the VR head plates off center as far as it may go horizontally) as close as you can over the nadir and then shoot. Some tripods have a center column that can pull up and swing out so you can more easily take a downward shot.

**Are there better or worse times to shoot HDRs?**

Yes, definitely. Shooting at noon on a bright, sunny, cloudless day is not ideal. If you capture an HDR under these circumstances, you will probably get overly blue lighting information onto your 3D objects. In this case, you may be better off just lighting your scene manually.

Another thing you can do is if the sun is directly in the shot (sometimes you may want it there!) but if not, you can often block the direct light from the sun by being in the shadow of a tree branch, light post, or building. This helps to minimize lens flares also.

If you do shoot a bright sun directly, then position your head so that the sun hit directly in the center of your viewfinder, this will help minimize lens flares or partial suns in multiple frames, which can be challenging to blend or correct.
**How many shots do I need to make an HDR (Radiance) file?**

Technically just one, but it will obviously not provide you much lighting information. You can shoot a JPEG, then (in Photoshop for example) do a “Save as” an .hdr Radiance file. However, if your original file is only 8 bits, then saving it at a 16-bit Tiff or 32-bit .hdr file isn’t going to do you much good. Now, having said that, if your single photo is a 12-bit or 14-bit RAW file, then now you may be onto something? Some tonemapping applications (i.e.: Photomatix Pro) will allow you to open a single image as a “feaux” HDR file... then you can tonemap this single image and recover highlight and shadow detail that was previously blown out in the 8- bit version of the file you may have previously saved. Tonemapping a single frame can add drama and detail to an otherwise, boring photograph. Try it out for yourself. This is a great technique for locations that have fast changing events or movements taking place, especially with people.

However, I generally advise that the more shots you input to create the .hdr file with, the better, and more accurate your results will be. Sometimes 3 shots are plenty for a usable .hdr file. Other times, you may need 6 or even 9 shots to get a fully dynamic .hdr file. Ultimately, it depends on your project needs.

Typically, if you shoot JPGs, you will need to bracket in one f-stop increments. If you shoot RAW, you will generally shoot in two f-stop increments.

**Can I take my RAW file, process it at 3 different “virtual” exposures, export 3 Tiff files, then generate an HDR file from those three TIFFs?** That is a fairly common misconception. When you export your data from 12-bits down to 8-bits, you are losing/throwing out information. So each of the three TIFFs would have considerably less information. If you are really pressed to make an HDR, you will get better results from just converting your RAW file directly into a .HDR file. Once you have that, you can then tonemap it and get “more” information” visually from your original image.
When should I use DXO Optics Pro instead of Adobe’s Lightroom? (to process my RAW files)
I use Lightroom about 98% of the time to process my RAW files. It is fast, it is easy, and it is cross-platform! However, there are times where you just cannot get your images to stitch properly, to generate your spherical images and spherical HDRs... DXO has scientifically analyzed lens defects of the most common cameras and lens combinations and can reverse/correct problems with your lenses that you weren’t even aware you had a problem with! For example, most lenses have a certain amount of barrel or pincushion distortion. DXO Optics Pro can go in and correct your images (including other things like chromatic aberration) and then once you “processed” these files (TIFFs for example) then you can go in and stitch those much more easily and accurately! The drawback is that DXO is a bit clunky and slow to process your images, but the results are definitely worth it! If accuracy is more important that time, then DXO is definitely the way to go.

Can I import my RAW files directly into a spherical stitching program and just make my HDRs from those original files? Hopefully, someday, this will be a choice. However, in the near future this is unlikely to happen due to the large number of “flavors” of RAW that are out there. Companies like RealViz for example, could spend days and days of research and effort just to get RAW files to import into their Stitcher application. So, unfortunately, you will need to “process” your RAW files (i.e.: Tiffs) before importing them into your stitching software program.

However, more and more stitching programs are now directly supporting the ability to import and stitch .hdr files! If your ultimate goal is to generate a fully spherical HDR file, then importing HDR source images can make a lot of sense. PTGui Pro, Stitcher, Hugin, & AutoPano Pro all support this option. (But your mileage will vary based on the content and exposure changes within each shot).

Can you successfully handhold and shoot a spherical HDR?
Yes, but I don't advise it, especially for newer panoramic photographers. You need a very steady hand, a fisheye lens, a fast burst rate, and the ability to maintain your nodal point while positioning your body all around the scene. It can be difficult to NOT get your toes in the shot when shooting with a fisheye lens. It will also end up costing you more work for stitching and image clean up, but it is definitely possible.
**How can I easily make my own 3D spherical panoramic HDRI files?**

Visit the E-on Software Vue6 website at:  [http://www.vue6.com](http://www.vue6.com)

Set up your Vue6 scenes as desired, go to your render settings and turn on the tick box for 360-degree render and the tick box for spherical render (180-degrees vertical). Make sure you “keep camera level” is turned on and after rendering (but before saving) be **SURE TO TURN OFF the tick box for “Natural Film Response”**. Otherwise, your highlights and shadows (in the extreme darks and light areas) will get clipped when you save. Images are all rendered out at 32-bits... so once you do a render, just do a “Save as...” choose the HDR format instead of JPG or TIFF and that is all you need to do! Even if you don't need a full environment, this program will easily create thousands of sky domes with every color, cloud, or detail you might need for your CG projects. It’s easy!

You could also render your own panoramic HDR in your main 3D app. If you're working on a long sequence it can be a useful shortcut to turn complex environments into matte paintings, and map them on a background ball instead. In Lightwave, for example, you would just use the Advanced Camera, set to cylindrical distortion on both axis, crank the horizontal FOV up to 360, and set the frame width to double the height (like 4096 x 2048). Save as EXR, and off you go!

---

A 3D panorama created with Vue6 – by Kirt Witte
**Shooting a Chrome Ball vs. Shooting a multi-image spherical panoramic HDR:**

When is it better to shoot a chrome ball? When is it better to shoot a full blown multi-image panoramic HDR?

**Chrome Ball Method:**
Easy to do – Anyone can do it!
Short learning curve
Do not need to be very photographically literate
Inexpensive (balls are $10 to $25 each)
Easier to process and convert to HDR files because it is just a single file
Overall low quality* image (*This can be improved if you shoot 2 or 3 additional angles of the same ball)
Overall, captures accurate color/light information
Not ideal for background plates or reflection maps (unless they will be very out of focus or blurred on purpose)
Often have scratches and dents
Portable? (Depends on your point of view)

**Multi-image/multi-segmental/Quicktime VR panoramic Method:**
Potentially challenging learning curve if you are not photographically literate
Gear is much more expensive and heavy
Exponentially more files are needed, which means more hard drive space, more file management, more retouching, etc..
Bigger time/manpower investment
High quality results can be used for lighting, reflection maps, and background plates.
(Side note – You generally only need a very low resolution panoramic HDR file for the actual lighting information. You might need a higher or full res version for the reflections or background plates)
Much more “flexible” in that you have a high quality image that you can always make smaller if needed.

(SIDENOTE - There are other methods for capturing/creating spherical HDR images, I have just chosen to discuss the most popular methods.)
**Glass Ball vs. Stainless Steel Ball**

**Glass balls** are:
- Cheaper
- Easier to find around town in your local garden center
- Brighter in reflectivity
- Generally have a few bumps and imperfections, but this is not critical if you just need light and color information.
- Come pre-made with a large hole at the bottom, which is perfect for a C-stand, tripod head, etc. to support it.
- Breakable (so be very careful when handling them)
- More prone to scratching.
- Slightly lighter in weight.
- Tend to have a secondary reflection near the rim due to the fresnel effect.
- (Personally, I prefer glass because of the brighter and sharper image it produces)

**Stainless Steel balls** are:
- More durable, almost indestructible. (However, they can be dented and scratched also)
- Less bright/reflective than glass.
- Generally a little softer reflections due to the tiny scratches on the surface.
- Can be very difficult to work with if it does not have a pre-cut hole in the bottom.
- (You can easily ruin a $40 drill bit on a single hole while trying to drill stainless steel.)
- More expensive than glass.

**Where do I put my chrome ball? How do I set it up properly?**

Ideally, it needs to go exactly where your 3D/CG object will be in your final shot(s). However, sometimes it cannot go exactly there, so get it as close as you can or in a very similar lighting situation. You should shoot perpendicular to your camera. If your ball is 5 feet off the ground, then your camera/tripod should also be 5 feet off the ground. (There are a few exceptions to this rule, but generally always shoot it level if possible) You need to be as far away as you can from the ball as well to minimize your reflection in the ball. Telephoto lenses are very handy in this situation. (i.e.: 70-300mm) You can always retouch yourself out, but a smaller reflection is easier and faster to correct.

(Continued on next page)
If you only have time to photograph the ball from one angle, then photograph it from the same angle the video or film camera is shooting the plate with. (For example, if the film camera is facing due North, then you’d be shooting the South side of the character or object that would later go into the shot. Therefore, when shooting the ball for HDR purposes, you should also shoot the South side of the ball.)

Another note – If part of your ball is severely scratched (or dented) then try to place that opposite of the direction you will be shooting from.

**What is the best lens (focal length) to shoot a chrome ball?**
The farther away physically that you are the better because it helps to reduce your reflection in the ball. A zoom lens (i.e.: 70-300mm) is very helpful for these situations. However, there are times where a long telephoto will not help you because you are in a small room. In this case, use the longest focal length you can without cropping into the image of the ball in your viewfinder or viewing screen.

**Can I shoot a colored (i.e.: red or blue) chrome ball?** No. You will get severely distorted color and lighting information. Just stick to plain old silver balls. (Yes, chrome Christmas ornaments can also be used in a pinch.)

**What is the use of the “gray ball” that I sometimes see on those “behind the scenes” videos?** Generally the gray (diffuse) ball is used for general lighting information (Think of it as merely a visual guide) such as where are the main lights? Or what is the overall key to fill lighting ratio of this scene? For non-HDR lighting, you can take the captured image of the ball, go into Photoshop, and measure (with the color picker) the overall color and saturation of the light(s). You can then take this information as a general plan on how to do traditional CG lighting. It is useful for being able to tell where the key light is in the scene. Another “trick” that some companies use is to put in a CG ball into a scene. If they can get the CG ball to match the real ball, then they know they are pretty close to matching the overall lighting for that particular scene.

This is definitely a good thing, but it is not very accurate and will not supply you with any reflections on your CG objects. Reflections can be equally or even more important than the lighting information generated by the HDR.

(Continued on next page)
(Sidenote – You will also sometimes see a white ball used instead of a gray ball. The white ball is generally used/swapped out when the overall light levels are lower in the scene being shot.)

**What do I need to support my chrome ball while shooting?** Certainly there are times where you can just place your ball directly on a tabletop for example. But generally, you will need some sort of stand to hold it up. A “C-stand” will work fine, but I recommend getting a photography monopod (i.e.: Bogen/Manfrotto) that has small, internal, pull-out tripod legs, so that it can be self-standing. Yes, you can use a regular tripod, but then you will have the reflections of 3 legs in the bottom of the ball. With a monopod, you only have one major reflection directly on the downside. This is easy to retouch and generally not much light is coming from directly below anyway. Windy days can be challenging, so make sure your ball is weighted down/taped down effectively so it will not move. If it does, you can use the de-ghosting features of HDRshop, Photomatix, etc to help align and correct this problem. It really works well! So use that feature if you are unsure if things have moved.

**Can I make a better/sharper HDR by shooting my chrome ball from multiple directions?** Yes! The main drawback to shooting a chrome ball (if only shooting it from one direction) is that the content on the back of the ball can often be “pinched” and very distorted. If you only need lighting information from the ball, then that is not always a problem, but if you want to also use the ball for a background plate or for reflections, then you may need a better version. The solution is to shoot the same ball from multiple directions. Generally only one more direction is needed (ie: 90-degrees from the angle of your first set of images), but if you also shoot 180-degrees and even 270-degrees of it as well, you will ensure a sharper, more crisp image once they have all been processed and combined into one panorama.

A few things to note about shooting the ball from multiple directions. It is very important that you shoot the ball (for the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th versions) at the exact same height as you shot the first one. Otherwise, the unwrapped spherical images will not line up properly for retouching. If possible, also shoot the extra ball shots at the exact same distance as you shot the first one... or at least as close as you can. Also, if you shot 5 shots to create the first HDR of the ball, then you also need to shoot 5 for the next ones. Similarly, use the exact same exposures for all of them.
Panoramic Conversions: how, when, why? Many HDR images on the internet are in the Light Probe format (They look like a picture of a chrome ball). Most 3D programs cannot use HDR files in this particular shape. In general, most 3D programs need what is called a LatLong File (also called equirectangular... think of a map of the earth that has been flattened out into a 2:1 aspect ratio. Why 2:1? 360-degrees horizontal by 180-degrees vertically gives you 2:1)

Realviz Stitcher has a few built in transformation options. HDRshop and Photomatix have a few built in transformation options. The “Flexify 2” Photoshop plug-in has more than 100!

What do I do with my Chrome Ball HDR file once I have shot, cropped, and combined all the images into a single HDR file? Generally, you will then want to retouch the unwrapped image to remove yourself, the tripod, and maybe some “pinching” that can occur from the content on the backside of the ball. There are tutorials online about how to combine two or three different chrome balls HDRs into one clearer and better one. (But not as good as a full spherical multi-image panorama!)

Once you have cropped the ball literally right to the edges, then resize it to a perfect square (aspect ratio) then save the file. Once you have that, then you can use a panoramic transformation to turn it into either a vertical cross or a LatLong (spherical) image to retouch it. HDRshop, Realviz Stitcher, and Flexify 2 can easily do this step for you.

Do I really need to shoot directly up or down at the Nadir or Zenith? Not always, but it is a good habit to get into when shooting, especially if you have the time and hard drive space. Depending on what lens you are shooting with and how many shots, you may or may not need a shot that is directly pointed straight up. In a sky for example, it is easy to fix and blend blue sky or clouds, so if there is a small hole at the top of your sphere, then it’s easy to correct. However, if you are shooting an architectural interior and you are shooting directly underneath a chandelier (for example) then it is MUCH better to shoot the up shot and just force the stitcher (via masking methods) to use the single image of the chandelier instead of 6 or 8 partial images of the same chandelier.

The same is true for the down shot(s). If you are shooting on top of a patch of concrete, grass, or carpet that it is all relative the same, then that is easy to fix and retouch in Photoshop or similar program. But if you are shooting on top of a Persian rug with intricate detail, then I strongly advise you to take the down shot(s).

(Continued on next page)
Why do I say down shot or down shots? If you shoot one downshot, that is good. But if you shoot TWO downshots, each shot 90-degrees to each other (North/South and then East/West) then you will be able to remove even more of your tripod and plates from the bottom of your spherical image. Most spherical stitching programs like Realviz Stitcher and PTgui Pro offer the ability to do masking. Then you can basically use a Boolean function to remove the differences between your two down shots. Then you only have to retouch a very, very small portion of your down view in post.

Some spherical stitchers allow you to “stitch-in” a photograph (PTgui Pro) that has been shot off-camera and off center. That is good if you have plenty of available light, but can be near impossible in low light situations where a tripod is required to get a proper exposure of the downward information. If you are shooting 9 exposures per view, then it will also be nearly impossible for you to handhold that many exposures and keep the camera perfectly still and in the same position.

File Formats/Depths/Processing of Radiance (.hdr) files:

**Does the color space that I have my camera set to effect how my RAW files are saved?**

Unfortunately, it depends on who you ask and what camera manufacturer you are talking to. (I did a lot of research and talking with many people about this and was not able to get a consistent answer on this topic.) Certainly if you are shooting and saving your files as JPGs, using the Adobe RGB color space is much better than using the sRGB color space. (Side note – if your camera will allow you to work in the ProPhoto RGB color space, then that is even better... more colors!) Some people I spoke with said “Raw is Raw” and it does not matter what color space because you because when you save out your file to a Tiff or Jpeg (for example) you can choose which color space to embed into your file. However, other tech support people told me that which color space you use DOES HAVE AN EFFECT on how your colors are saved in a RAW file format. This may vary between camera manufacturers. My advice is to “be safe” and go ahead and set your camera to Adobe RGB by default.... Or even ProPhoto RGB which is generally only an option on higher end cameras.
Is there really much difference between 12-bit RAW images and 14-bit RAW images? YES, YES, YES! The more colors per RGB channel, the more lighting/shadowing/texture information that will be recorded. Colors have smoother tonal transitions (i.e.: in a bright blue sky) and more highlight and shadow details will be recorded. You cannot see it or use it, if it is not there! More and more camera manufacturers are switching to 14-bit CCDs every day. Basically it boils down to the more information you have, the more creative freedom you will have on the tail end to do what you want or need to do. More is definitely better in this case! Technically, 12-bit files have 4096 shades of gray, per RGB channel, and 14-bit files have 16,384!!!

Another thing you should know about 14-bit files though, is that they are generally about 25% larger than 12-bit files. However, since the price of hard drive space is dropping quickly, this is not really much of an issue.
Is it better to create your Radiance (.hdr) files directly from RAW files? Or better to convert them to Tiffs (or something else), then import those to make your radiance files? Well, unfortunately, the answer is “… it depends.” From a high level, it would make the most sense to import your 12-bit or 14-bit files and make your .hdrs directly from those. The idea being that you are preserving as much original data as possible, giving you greater tonal range and ability to tweak and adjust your HDR files afterwards. In general, that is true and for most times, most situations, that is the best way to do it.

However, in a situation where your color balance is critical, then you may need to use a different method. For example, if the color balance of your camera was set incorrectly at capture time, this could cause some problems later on when you really need to fine tune your color balance. <Yes, you do have the ability to adjust your color balance somewhat after making your .hdr files> It may also be a case where you are working with files with an extremely broad contrast range, (i.e.: an architectural interior with mixed lighting, but you also have bright windows letting in the daylight as well) this can make it difficult to preserve a specific range of highlights or shadows for example.

Solution: Process your RAW files with a program like DXO Optics Pro, Adobe Lightroom, or Apple’s Aperture program first. These programs allow you to really fine tune your RAW image processing. For example, you can choose to preserve your highlight details and lighten up your shadows a little. So adjust your most “normal” exposure (out of a set of exposures that you will use to create your HDR file from) and save those settings for how you specifically processed that image. Copy and paste those particular settings onto ALL of the exposures you will be generating your HDR from. IT IS CRITICAL, that you apply the exact same settings to all of the images so that you will get reliable, predictable results in your final HDR file. I recommend exporting these files out to 16-bit TIFF files (the idea being to preserve as many tonal values as possible in each channel.) Then take your series of “processed” 16-bit TIFF files and generate your final HDR file from those. (Instead of using the original RAW files only).

Is there a way I can find out what the dynamic range of my HDR really is? Photomatix Pro has an option where you can view a 32-bit histogram of your HDR file. One of the numeric results it lists is an (estimated!) dynamic range of your file. It’s not perfect, but gives a rough approximation of the brightness/contrast range of your HDR file.
JPEG versus RAW:
This is a big one to tackle… but here goes.

JPEGs are:
- Faster to shoot
- Faster to Save
- Smaller in file size, consequently you can save many more panos/images on a drive.
- Can be opened/edited in just about any graphics program
- Cross-platform
- Little or no learning curve
- Anyone or almost any camera can shoot JPGs
- 8-bit files (only 256 color per channel)
- Limited ability to color correct
- Generally, you need to bracket in **ONE f-stop** increments.
- Very limited in overall detail, but especially in highlight and shadow details (in other words darks go black quickly and highlights go completely white quickly)
- Better for shooting “journalistic” or fast moving/changing scenes, especially with people in them.

RAW files are:
- Slower to shoot
- Slower to save (More bits = bigger file size = more hard drive space needed = less images can be saved to a hard drive)
- Larger in file size (14-bit RAWs are about 25% larger than 12-bit RAWs)
- 12-bit files have 4096 colors per channel and 14-bit files have 16,384!
- Are “proprietary” per camera manufacturer and even within a single manufacturer (i.e.: Canon) they have multiple RAW formats (i.e.: .CR2 and .CRW)
- Generally, you need to bracket in **TWO f-stop** increments.
- Much more exposure latitude, consequently fewer photos to cover a large exposure range. (i.e. 3 RAW files can cover a range that 5 or 6 jpegs would be needed to cover) - This also means less bracketing!
- Much more ability to change color temperature after its been shot
- Have to be “processed” in a digital darkroom (i.e.: Adobe Lightroom) and then saved as secondary file such as Tiff, JPG, EXR, etc.
- Are Non-destructive! At this point, RAW files cannot be saved. Therefore you can always go back to the original and make additional corrections.
- Are naturally a bit “soft” looking. RAW files are **INTENDED** to be sharpened, so some photographers have a hard time switching for that reason alone.

(Continued on next page)
Personally, I always shoot RAW. Once you go RAW, you never want to go back to the “old” way. (Assuming you know how to properly process them)

Christian Bloch disagrees with this statement. Here is his comment:

“Shooting 9x9 = 81 images for a full panorama is significantly easier in JPEG. Filesize in RAW would go through the roof, I had the extra step of development involved (doubling filesize on disk again), and my camera would also slow down to a crawl as soon as the burst buffer is full. With JPEG I can shoot a full spherical with at least 5 frames a second, so the whole thing takes only about a minute.”

**RAW vs. Adobe’s Digital Negative (.dng) format:**
There are currently about 50 “flavors” of the RAW file format, which makes it very difficult for software companies to be able to support them all. Eventually, only a few of the big players (i.e.: Canon, Nikon, Fuji) may be left... then older files may become obsolete and cannot be opened in future applications. Adobe wants to keep this from happening, or at least minimize this effect, so they have created an open source file format called the Digital Negative (.dng). The idea is that if you save or convert your RAW file (or any other file for that matter) into a DNG File, that 50 years from now, you will still be able to open and work with that file. Overall, it is a good logical idea. However, because camera manufacturers are not currently allowing photographers to save directly into the DNG format (as opposed to RAW or JPG), then converting all your RAW files to a DNG file adds a few steps to the overall process and workflow. Moreover, if you tell the DNG file to preserve all original RAW data, then basically your DNG file is 2X larger than the original RAW file size. At this point, that is impractical for many reasons. Eventually, it will be ideal for us all to be working, shooting, and saving our files in Adobe’s DNG file format, but I do not believe the time is right (yet!) to convert all your files to DNG. I do hope that day will come eventually.

**Why are there so many versions of the RAW file format?**
Most RAW files are basically the same in that they capture a lot of data per channel, but their header files, compression algorithms, etc. are different and are “trade secrets”. This data is considered proprietary and makes each manufacturer’s product “unique”. Visually, they all look about the same, but some may be bigger or smaller in file size due to how the data in saved and compressed within each file.
Tonemapping:

**Tonemappers? Are the all the same?**
Definitely NOT! Some are very simple, rude, and crude. Some are very complex and offer many, many variations and choices. There is no perfect tonemapper for every situation or image. Different methods are needed for different lighting situations. Ultimately, tonemapping is just a matter of personal preference on whether or not the viewer/photographer thinks it looks correct or not. Be careful that many tonemappers “suck the life” out of your images. By that I mean that they can easily reduce the contrast so much that it looks very unnatural. An easy method to correct for this after you tonemap the image, go to Photoshop (for example) and reset your black and white points of the image. This will create a more realistic, natural-looking image.

Another thing to really watch out for are dark or light “halos” around areas of high contrast that have been compressed during the tonemapping process. Generally this creates a very unrealistic image, but some artists like it as it seems “Painterly” to them. As I said, tonemapping is very subjective to personal taste.

**Are tonemapped photos and HDRs the same thing?**
Definitely not! Tonemapping is really just a small subset of what HDR files are all about and how they are used. Unfortunately, because of some of the bad tonemapping examples posted on popular internet websites like Flickr.com, many people are mistakenly being misled about the benefits of high dynamic range imaging. They may see many of the poorly tonemapped images and think, “Why would I want to use or learn HDR if that is what the result will be?”

**Can I tonemap a single image?**
With a few applications (i.e.: Photomatix Pro) you can convert your single RAW file into a “feaux” HDR file. (14-bits would be better than 12-bits in this case, but 12-bits will certainly work) Once you have that, you can tonemap it as if it were created from multiple images. This can be very useful for pulling/saving/showing the highlights in clouds or to show more shadow details in a journalistic photograph of a person or fast moving scene where shooting with a tripod is not possible or practical.
**Local vs. Global tonemapping? What are the differences and why should I care?**

Global tonemapping applies the same set of color changes, compression, etc equally across the image. This method is generally faster and works often with HDRs that to not have an extremely dynamic range within the image.

Local tonemappers initially apply a global tonemapping algorithm to the file, but then it analyzes the differences (delta) and if it notices that there are still areas of extreme difference in values, it will then go to each of those local (smaller) areas and further reduce/compress the tonal values within an increasingly smaller area until it reaches a “normal” value of differences. Local tonemappers are generally slower, but offer more ability to really tweak difficult or very high contrast HDRI images.

Some programs like Photoshop for example, allow you to manually tonemap with a custom curve function. This can be acceptable for an advanced user, but is not very user friendly to artists that are new to HDRi and tonemapping.

Some freeware and shareware tonemappers offer 2 or 3 methods for tonemapping. Other programs like Photomatix Pro offer many more choices than that.

**What does tonemapping really do?**

Tonemapping is really about compressing a 32-bit file down to a believable, generally realistic 8-bit image. With traditional 8-bit photography, often times highlights will be blown out and subtle shadow information will just go black with very few details in the shadow areas. Tonemapping allows you to basically pick and choose which highlights, midtones, and shadows that you want to use. You take those preferred areas and compress it down into an 8-bit file (it could be compressed to 16-bits also) into an image that looks “real” to the viewer, but with traditional digital photography, it wouldn’t be possible. The human eye adjusts exposure on the fly in our brains and old traditional painters also painted in scenes and exposures the way they “see” them in real life as well. Tonemapping allows us to more accurately reproduce how our brain views a scene.

Again, let me clarify that tonemapping is very subjective. Many artists/photographers create very stylized and hyper-real images that are “artistically” acceptable, but not necessarily believable. Often times they end up looking like poorly composited/blended Photoshop projects. I think the “challenge” is to make tonemapped images look believable.
What programs or utilities will do tonemapping?

Adobe Photoshop CS3
Photomatix Pro
Picturenaut
HDRshop
FDR tools
Dynamic Photo HDR
Artizen HDR

Are there high-end hdr methods/systems for easily creating all this HDR stuff?
Of course! If you want an automated system and your production time is critical or very short, then please check out the amazing HDR cameras at Spheron and Panoscan!

http://www.spheron.com
http://www.panoscan.com

Where can I find panoramic photographers?

International VR Photography Association:
http://ivrpa.org/

International Association of Panoramic Photographers:
http://panphoto.com/

You may find some on the official Adobe approved photographers list as well.
http://www.photographersdirectory.adobe.com

Jook Leung is one of my favorite panoramic photographers and he is very familiar with the needs of HDRi projects: http://360vr.com/

Stock HDRs. There are increasingly more and more stock HDRs on the internet, but for the last few years, many people keep re-using the same ten or so free HDRs that they can find.

(Continued on next page)
To make your own CG HDR domes, try Vue 6: http://www.vue6.com

For photographic HDRs and background plates: (especially automotive) http://www.cgibackgrounds.com

For photographic and CGI hdrs: http://www.doschdesign.com/

Where can I find a thorough list of panoramic and HDR related tools, hardware, and utilities?
http://ivrpa.org/vr/links
http://www.hdrlabs.com/tools/links.html

Where can I get help with HDRI in mental ray?
Zap’s mental ray tips: http://mentalraytips.blogspot.com/
Los Angeles mental ray Users Group http://www.LAmrUG.org

Where can I learn to do my own panoramic photography? See Greg Downing’s DVD tutorials on Gnomon: http://www.thegnomonworkshop.com/dvds/gdo01.html

Or

Better yet, come take my “High Dynamic Range Imaging” class at the Savannah College of Art and Design, in Savannah, GA, USA

Where can I purchase a chrome ball? Generally, you can find a chrome ball at your local mega-shopping store in the garden center, but it may depend on the time of year as well. However, here are two locations that keep them in stock year round: Fountains N Such and OutdoorDecor.com
**What about the future of HDRI?**  
The future of HDR is looking great! 16-bit monitors are on the way. Video cameras like the “**Red**” camera offer RAW video shot in 12-bits! More and more camera manufacturers are offering RAW as a shooting/saving option, including some of the newer point and shoot cameras now offer the ability to shoot in RAW. This I not HDR directly, but eventually “HDR CCDs” will be available and the world will never be the same!

**Who started all this?**  
Paul Debevec and Greg Ward.  
Dr. Paul Debevec is generally credited with bringing HDRI to the forefront. He is often considered the “Father of HDRI”. However, Greg Ward, invented the Radiance file format, so he should be considered the “Grandfather of HDR” and they both are still very active in the development of current HDRI related technologies. Go Paul! Go Greg! (and Thank you!)

**What is the most commonly used HDR on the planet?**  
From Paul Debevec’s website his “Uffizi Gallery-Florence” HDR is probably the single most used light probe. It was one of the first on the internet and is really great, but keep in mind that it was one of the first free HDRs on the internet, so it has been used over and over. Do you really want your work to look like everyone else’s?

**HDR files are great for Black and White conversions...** (and so are RAW files)  
Not sure if you might want Black and White for your image? Shoot color, then you can always remove the color. But today, using 12-bit and 14-bit RAW files (or even 32-bit HDRs) you now have much more creative freedom to really tweak your B&W conversions. (Much more than just desaturating 100%) Try adjusting the red, green and blue channels while previewing the black and white image. (Side note - Adobe Photoshop Lightroom is really great for this!)

**How can I properly view my 32-bit HDR file on my 8-bit monitor?**  
Unfortunately, you cannot. Viewing 12-bit, 16-bit, or 32-bit files on your normal computer screen is just a rough approximation of the data in the file. Ultimately, you will need to view your rendered images/film to see if everything is working properly and you are getting the effect/believability that you are looking for. However, there are a few things you can do to help to get to the point where what you see on screen is what you get as a final result.

(Continued on next page)
Monitor calibration is very important to normal photography and image editing anyway, but I think even more crucial when dealing with 16 or 32-bit files. I recommend the Spyder 3 calibrator as its relatively inexpensive, works on CRTs, LCD, and also digital projectors. There are many other calibration systems, but many are too expensive for the average consumer.

Eventually, we will have access to the “next generation” of monitors. These are the 16-bit monitors that are coming. As a longtime digital artist, I have to say that viewing HDR images on a 16-bit monitor is like looking through a window! It is gorgeous and close to looking through a window at reality. The blacks are very, very deep and if you see a bright light (or sun) you may have to squint your eyes because it is so bright on the screen. It is truly amazing. Dolby Canada (formerly Brightside Technologies) has been working on them for a while now and have shown them at previous Siggraph conferences. Sony also has a small one called the Sony XEL-1 that has an amazing 1,000,000:1 contrast ratio. Wow!

Speaking of which... If you are going to be working with HDR files and you’re in the market for a new monitor, then I suggest purchasing one(s) with the highest contrast ratios you can find. Typical retail stores are now starting to carry them with 3,000:1, 8,000:1, and even 10,000:1 contrast ratios! The higher the ratio, the more closely it can display your high dynamic range images.

*Don’t forget to clean your lens before shooting!* When you shoot HDRs, the dust will generally be accentuated when creating and HDR file. Please see the image below:
Can I really take a picture of one side of a chrome ball and see content and lighting information on the opposite side?  YES! Seriously. Its one of those strange physics things... but suffice it to say that the content on the backside of the ball ends up as tiny reflections on the outer edges of the ball itself. (Hence the reason it is so important to properly crop your chrome ball photographs.) When you shoot a chrome ball, you are NOT just capturing 180-degrees of information. You are also capturing the content on the OPPOSITE side of the ball! At first glance, this doesn’t seem possible, but it is. Try taking a photo of a chrome ball and hold out your hand on the opposite side of the ball (opposite of the camera) and take a photo. Once you unwrap the ball, you WILL see content on the side of the ball what was facing away from the camera! It will not be very sharp and clear.. and it may appear “pinched” but its there. More importantly, the lighting information is there! Which is what you really want anyway.  

Technical explanation

If you don’t believe me, try it yourself!  I didn’t believe it until I did it!

Resources:

"The HDRI Handbook" by Christian Bloch  
http://www.hdri-handbook.com

"High Dynamic Range Imaging" by Reinhard, Ward, Pattanaik, and Debevec  
http://www.elsevierdirect.com/product.jsp?isbn=9780125852630

Paul Debevec’s website:  
http://www.debevec.org

Greg Ward’s website:  
http://www.anyhere.com/  
http://www.anyhere.com/gward/index.html

Erik Reinhard’s website:  
http://www.cs.ucf.edu/~reinhard/

Kirt Witte’s websites:  
http://employeepages.scad.edu/~kwitte/html/HDRI.html  
http://TheOtherSavannah.com
**Where can I get Flexify 2?** (Plug-in for Photoshop) It DOES support HDRI files also. [http://www.flamingpear.com/flexify.html](http://www.flamingpear.com/flexify.html)

**Where can I found out more about Adobe’s Digital Negative (.dng) format?**

**Where can I purchase a digital gray card?**